

☐ SECRET☒ CONFIDENTIAL 997093/997113☐ UNCLASSIFIEDApproved For Release 2005/05/20 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001600010066-3
CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT

25

TO:

DATE

4 April 1968

INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state)

27 - Final

ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE

NAME OF CONTRACTOR

Declass Review by NGA.

Step & Repeat Hessian Printer & Contact Printer

THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE

☐ YES☒ NOTHE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS ☐ YES ☒ NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.

PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 100%

PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 100%

HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? ☒ YES ☐ NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? ☐ YES ☒ NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)

INCENTIVES

IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT
IF YES, CHECK TYPE☒ YES☐ NO☐ COST☐ AWARD FEE☒ PERFORMANCE☐ DELIVERY

NOTE:

USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS.

FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.

OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR

1. ☐ OUTSTANDING4. ☐ ABOVE AVERAGE7. ☐ UNSATISFACTORY2. ☐ EXCELLENT5. ☐ AVERAGE3. ☐ VERY GOOD6. ☒ MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE

IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.

RECOMMENDED ACTION

☐ CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED☐ WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE☒ CLOSE OUT☐ OTHER (Specify)

IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:

ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		MANUALS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		FINAL REPORT		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	SPECIAL TOOLING		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR

Through GINRADA on 3 April 1968

SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR

DIVISION

☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Approved For Release 2005/05/20 : CIA-RDP78B04770A001600010066-3

NARRATIVE REPORT

☐ INTERIM☒ FINAL

1. Monthly letter reports #42, 43, and 44 have been received covering activity from December 1967 through February 1968.
2. The prototype printer (#1) has been delivered to and accepted by the Army (GIMRADA). The drawings, specifications, and manuals required have also been delivered and the contract is complete as far as printer #1 is concerned.

CONTENTS:

a. In 1964, NPIC drew up development objectives for a high resolution step and repeat contact printer capable of producing variable quantities of individual frames from roll film. At the same time, the Army (GIMRADA) had a requirement for a contact duplicating and rescan printer. Initially, the design concepts for the two printers were similar and it was anticipated that substantial savings would result from joint development.

b. Consequently, on 29 June 1964, Contract [] was signed with [] in the amount of [] for development of both printers. NPIC assumed responsibility for contractual matters, with GIMRADA transferring its share of the funds to CIA. [] then sub-contracted work on the GIMRADA rescan printer to []

c. On 13 October 1965, [] announced that its funds under this contract were exhausted. A stop work order for the NPIC printer (#2) was issued immediately.

d. GIMRADA approved continuation of funding and work on its printer and delivery was made on 19 July 1967. Acceptance tests were conducted at the Army Map Service. The tests were continuously delayed by technical problems and such delays were a constant problem throughout the entire contract.

e. A letter of acceptance dated 28 March 1968 for printer #1 has been received from the Army—copy attached.

3. NPIC has made a careful study of the status of printer #2 and concluded that even if carried to completion this project would not result in a printer with resolution and design characteristics compatible with current and projected needs. It is, therefore, recommended that Contract [] with [] be terminated and that appropriate disposition be made of any residual equipment.

4. I certify that printer #1 with the required drawings, specifications, and manuals have been received and that this portion of the contract is complete.

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET



DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY
U. S. ARMY ENGINEER TOPOGRAPHIC LABORATORIES
FORT BELVOIR, VIRGINIA 22060

IN REPLY REFER TO:
ETL- AMD

28 March 1968

Dear John:

X1 Reference is made to the [] contract for the Contact Duplicating and Reseau Printer.

The final acceptance test has been completed. In essence the printer conformed to the requirements of the contract except for accuracy. The specification required that the total alignment and printing error be within ± 5 microns. Although, the final acceptance test revealed an overall error on the order of 25-30 microns; it has been determined that the printer produces products having metric qualities consistent with the metric qualities of the input materials. The history of this contract would serve to indicate that a significant increase in accuracy can be achieved only at great expense to the Government in both time and money. For these reasons, it is considered to be in the best interests of the Government that the printer be accepted.

It is therefore requested that the necessary action be taken to close out the contract.

Sincerely yours,



Special Projects Branch
Advanced Mapping Division

25